Annex AF 12 Self-assessment of the modifications

***Self-assessment of modifications[[1]](#footnote-1)***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Project acronym |  |
| Project ID (Jems code) |  |
| Name of Lead Applicant in English language |  |

(Official name of the lead applicant institution in English as listed in the AF)

The undersigned, representing the

participating in the Invitation for submission of the full application for the project ideas accepted by the **Interreg VI-A Romania-Bulgaria Programme**  in the context of the Integrated Territorial Strategy, with the role of lead partner, hereby certifies the following:

1. The lead partner and partners assessed by their own if the full application which is being submitted (under step 2) contains substantial modifications if compared to the concept note which was accepted (during step 1); we present hereunder the results of this self-assessment;
2. The modifications included in the application form (full application) in comparison with the concept note were discussed and agreed upon by all partners;
3. The self-assessment was carried out objectively, and the modifications do not alter the project objectives or significantly change the objectives, activities, outputs, results and budget which were declared in the concept note; all the changes occurred are presented below;
4. The partnership I represent is aware that all the modifications will be checked and might not be accepted by the Programme. In certain situations, modifications that are not accepted may lead to rejecting from funding the project application.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Please identify and explain the modification that you propose through the full application submitted in Step 2, if compared to the concept note selected in Step 1, by answering to the following questions:** | | | | | |
|  |  | YES | NO | If, YES | Please, justify the modification: |
|  | Did you modified the partnership? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ The new partner(s) observes the eligibility rules set by the Guidelines for Concept Notes launched in the ITS context | *Max. 300 words* |
| ☐ The new partner(s) brings added value to the partnership |
| ☐ The maximum allowed number of partners is not exceeded |
|  | Did you modified the intervention logic (objectives, work packages, activities and results)? |  |  | A clear justification of the modification is provided within the application form | *Max. 500 words* |
| Project objectives remain unchanged, as declared in the concept note |
| Activities do not change significantly |
| The definition and number of indicators do not change; |
| Targets associated to indicators were amended |
| Other changes in the work packages, activities and indicators were implemented upon request of the Strategy Board or of Programme bodies |
|  | Did you modified the location of project activities or investment? |  |  | A clear justification for the modification should be provided within the application form | *Max. 300 words* |
| The new location respects the same conditions as the initial one, including the proximity to the EuroVelo 6 route |
|  | Did you modified the budget of project activities or investment? |  |  | A clear justification of for the modification is provided within the application form | *Max. 500 words* |
| The modification does not affect the chances to reach project objectives |
| The budget modification is a consequence of other modifications in the application (intervention logic, partnership, location, period, technical solutions, etc.) |
|  | Did you modified the implementation period? |  |  | The implementation does not go beyond 30 June 2029. | *Max. 200 words* |

Date:

Signature(s):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name and status of signatory (Legal representative of the lead applicant):

1. To be filled in and signed by the Lead Applicant [↑](#footnote-ref-1)